

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/112,774	07/10/1998	KIA SILVERBROOK	IR18US 7296		
75	590 03/01/2002				
KIA SILVERBROOK			EXAMINER		
SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD 393 DARLING STREET			YE, LIN		
BALMAIN NSW, 2041 AUSTRALIA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2612		
			DATE MAILED: 03/01/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No. Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summany		09/112,774		SILVERBROOK,	KIA			
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Lin Ye		2612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status	December 45 communication (a) filed a							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		C. al					
2a) ☐	,—	is action is non						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requi	rement.					
	on Papers							
•	The specification is objected to by the Examiner		<u> </u>					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
a)⊠ All b)⊡ Some c)⊡ None of. 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3	4) [5) [. 6) [(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT				

Art Unit: 2612

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Objections

2. A series of singular dependent claims is permissible in which a dependent claim refers to a preceding claim which, in turn, refers to another preceding claim.

A claim which depends from a dependent claim should not be separated by any claim which does not also depend from said dependent claim. It should be kept in mind that a dependent claim may refer to any preceding independent claim. In general, applicant's sequence will not be changed. See MPEP § 608.01(n).

Claims 2 and 3 should refer to preceding claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

For the purposes of examination, it will be presumed that these two claims depend from claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 09-12,774

Art Unit: 2612

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cane et al U.S. Patent 5,999,203 (Hereinafter referred to as Cane).

Referring to claim 1, the Cane reference discloses in Figures 1, 4, 6, 18 and 26(a, b, c) an electronic imaging camera includes a printer assembly for providing instant images. A microprocessor (4) processes sensed image and controls printer head (5) to print stored image from camera system (See Col 4, lines 12-22). A portable battery (141) interconnected print and camera system as shown in Figure 6. The printer assembly includes a print head (308) and a paper advance roller (318) as shown in Figure 18. A replaceable preloaded paper cartridge is provided for easy replacement of the paper. A guillotine mechanism (352)

Art Unit: 2612

located between print-sheet roll (318) and print head (308) to cut print sheet to a predetermined size as shown in Figure 26b (See Col 15, lines 54-64).

Referring to claims 2, 3 and 4, the guillotine mechanism (352) is detachable from camera system and attached to print cassette (350) below print head (308) (See Col 15, lines 35-52).

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ormum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claim1 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,152,619 (Hereinafter referred to as '619). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the reasons set forth below:

Both claims include an "image sensor" and a "print head". Additionally '619 includes a print media. Although claim 1 does not state that the print media is in roll form. It would have been obvious since the print media requires cutting. Although '619 claims a worm screw and worm gear, it is noted that this is equivalent to the claimed "guillotine"

Art Unit: 2612

mechanisms" in claim 1. Lately claim 1 includes a "portable power supply" which is not claimed in '619. It would have been obvious to include a portable power supply in the device claimed in '619 to that the camera need not be plugged in during use.

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a. Suzuki U.S 5,847,836 discloses a printer-built-in image-sensing apparatus including a camera which performs image sensing with film exposure, and a printer which prints an image sensed by the camera.
 - b. McIntyre et al. U.S 5,894,326 discloses the camera includes an optical printer being adapted to be optically coupled to the display.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Lin Ye** whose telephone number is **(703) 305-3250**. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy R Garber can be reached on **(703) 305-4929**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, DC. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314

. Application/Control Number: 09/112,774

Art Unit: 2612

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

WENDY\R. GARBER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Lin Ye February 25, 2002